



ITW

SILVERBROOK RESEARCH Pty Ltd

393 Darling Street Balmain NSW 2041 Australia

PO Box 207 Balmain NSW 2041 Australia

Phone: +61 2 9818 6633 Fax: + 61 2 9555 7762

Email: info@silverbrook.com.au

ACN 066 573 671

September 28, 2005

Commissioner of Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
PO Box 1450
Alexandria VA 22313-1450

Attention: Twyler Marie Lamb, Examiner
Art Unit: 2622

US Application No. 09/575,109
Title: "Printed Page Tag Encoder"
Applicant: Silverbrook Research Pty Ltd
Docket No: PEC02US

Dear Sirs,

We are unsure of the status of the above mentioned application.

The last correspondence we received from the USPTO was the GRANTED decision on petition dated May 12, 2004. (enclosed)

Could you please advise status of this case at your earliest convenience? We are eager to take the next step to ensure this case is allowed and subsequently granted.


Yours faithfully

Kia Silverbrook
Silverbrook Research Pty Ltd

Encl.



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



COPY

COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
P.O. Box 1450
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

Paper No. 6

MAIL

MAY 12 2004

DIRECTOR OFFICE
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600
**DECISION
ON PETITION**

SILVERBROOK RESEARCH PTY LTD
393 DARLING STREET
BALMAIN 2041 AU AUSTRALIA

In re Application of:
Paul Lapstun, et al.
Application No. 09/575,109
Filed: May 23, 2000
For: PRINTED PAGE TAG ENCODER

This is a response to the request for withdrawal of the Notice of Abandonment, filed March 9, 2004 which is treated as a petition to Withdraw the Holding of Abandonment, no fee is required pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 1.181.

This application was held abandoned for failure to respond to the Office Action mailed on July 7, 2003. A Notice of Abandonment was mailed February 24, 2004.

Petitioner alleges to have timely filed a response by facsimile transmission to the Office Action on October 7, 2003. Petitioner provided with the petition, copies of a Facsimile Transmission Report, a Facsimile Transmittal Form, and a copy of the alleged timely Response.


37 C.F.R. § 1.8(b) states that in the event that correspondence is considered timely filed by being mailed or transmitted in accordance with paragraph (a) of this section, but not received in the Patent and Trademark Office, and the application is held to be abandoned or the proceeding dismissed, terminated, or decided with prejudice, the correspondence will be considered timely if the party who forwarded such correspondence:

- (1) Informs the Office of the previous mailing or transmission of the correspondence promptly after becoming aware that the Office has no evidence of receipt of the correspondence,
- (2) Supplies an additional copy of the previously mailed or transmitted correspondence and certificate, and
- (3) Includes a statement which attests on a personal knowledge basis or to the satisfaction of the Commissioner to the previous timely mailing or transmission. If the correspondence was sent by facsimile transmission, a copy of the sending unit's report confirming transmission may be used to support this statement. [emphasis added]

Although the petition lacked a personal statement by Simon Robert Walmsley or Paul Lapstun, i.e., the individuals that signed the certification of transmission, Petitioner does provide a copy of the facsimile transmittal report which confirms transmission to a U.S. Patent and Trademark Office facsimile number.

Accordingly, the petition is **Granted**. The Notice of Abandonment is vacated and the holding of abandonment withdrawn.

The application will be forwarded to the Technology Center's technical support staff for entry of petition the response. From there, the file will be forwarded to the examiner for consideration in due course.


Dwayne D. Bost
Special Program Examiner
Technology Center 2600
Communications